Challenges in Balancing Indigenous Rights and Modern Governance in the Andaman and Nicobar Islands

Sabari Eswari

SUBJECT: POLITICAL SCIENCE
Email id: sabbusarvesh@gmail.com

Abstract

With an emphasis on the historical background, legal systems, and effects of globalization, this paper examines the difficulties in striking a balance between indigenous rights and contemporary government in the Andaman and Nicobar Islands. For thousands of years, the islands' indigenous tribes have preserved unique cultures and sustainable ways of life. However, colonial and post-colonial rule has caused major disruptions, leading to land confiscation, cultural marginalization, and population reduction. Tribal rights are protected by laws like the Forest Rights Act of 2006 and the Andaman and Nicobar Islands (Protection of Aboriginal Tribes) Regulation of 1956, however enforcement of these laws is uneven due to conflicting development objectives. Additionally, economic, social, and technological factors brought forth by globalization both threaten traditional means of subsistence and open up new channels for indigenous advocacy. The report emphasizes the necessity of inclusive and flexible governance structures that uphold tribal autonomy, advance sustainable growth, and attend to strategic priorities. Maintaining this equilibrium is essential for protecting indigenous populations' ecological knowledge and cultural legacy from outside influences.

Keywords: Indigenous Rights, Andaman and Nicobar Islands, Modern Governance, Globalization, Tribal Autonomy, Legal Protection.

1. INTRODUCTION

Some of the oldest and most remote indigenous populations in the world may be found on the Andaman and Nicobar Islands, which are ideally situated in the Bay of Bengal. The Great Andamanese, Jarawa, Onge, Sentinelese, Nicobarese, and Shompen are among the tribes who have managed to preserve unique cultures, languages, and ancient political structures that are closely linked to their natural surroundings. Hunting, gathering, and small-scale farming are the foundations of their sustainable lifestyles, which demonstrate a deep understanding of and peaceful coexistence with the delicate island ecology. However, balancing indigenous rights with modern government systems is difficult due to the islands' geopolitical importance as well as growing economic development and modernization demands.

Through forced integration initiatives, land confiscation, and population loss brought on by introduced diseases, colonial and post-colonial policies have historically impeded indigenous autonomy. Strategic development, infrastructure growth, and resource exploitation have been the main focuses of post-independence governance, frequently ignoring indigenous voices and intensifying land and resource disputes. Indigenous populations have been protected by constitutional protections and legal measures like the Andaman & Nicobar Islands (Protection of Aboriginal Tribes) Regulation, 1956. However, overlapping jurisdictions, development imperatives, and a lack of participatory governance continue to make enforcement difficult.

This equilibrium is made more difficult by external factors and globalization. Migration, media exposure, economic integration, and tourism all bring opportunities and risks, endangering traditional means of subsistence and cultural identities while creating new forums for advocacy and communication. Creating governance models that preserve ecological sustainability, respect indigenous rights and cultural heritage, and take into account national development and security concerns is a challenge. To ensure that the islands' distinctive tribal populations may prosper alongside contemporary governance institutions, this delicate balancing act calls for considerate policy interventions, participatory decision-making, and respect for indigenous autonomy.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Rabani and Mishra (2023) highlighted the historicity of colonial violence in the Andaman Islands in an ecocritical analysis of The Miraculous True History of Nomi Ali by Uzma Aslam Khan. Their research highlighted the enduring effects of environmental degradation and colonial exploitation on indigenous civilizations, illuminating the connection between ecological devastation and cultural trauma.

Kathuria and Sharma (2024) explored indigenous peoples' ecological expertise, presenting it as crucial to reaching the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) of the UN by 2030. They promoted deeper integration of such knowledge systems into modern development planning, arguing that traditional wisdom and indigenous environmental practices provided insightful information on sustainable resource management.

Saxena et al. (2020) offered critical analysis of the proposed policies pertaining to the Sentinelese and Great Andamanese tribes. The intricacies of creating governing structures that uphold indigenous autonomy while attending to welfare and protection requirements were highlighted by their investigation. The study emphasized policy design flaws and the need for participative and culturally aware methods of policymaking.

Indwar and Muthukumar (2023) examined how ecotourism may support sustainable development. Their synopsis illustrated how ecotourism may be a practical means of striking a balance between environmental preservation and the socioeconomic advantages enjoyed by indigenous and local communities. But they also issued a warning about the possible harm that poorly run tourism could do to indigenous cultures and delicate ecosystems.

Saha et al. (2024) carried out a socio-ecological study of sustainable fisheries on the Gulf of Mannar's Indian coast. In order to preserve ecological balance and livelihoods, their research examined the relationships between nearby fishing communities and marine ecosystems, highlighting the significance of community-based management techniques. The difficulties and possibilities of combining old knowledge with contemporary conservation strategies were demonstrated by their findings.

HISTORICAL CONTEXT OF INDIGENOUS RIGHTS IN THE ANDAMAN AND NICOBAR ISLANDS

2.1 Indigenous Tribes and Their Traditional Lifestyles

Some of the world's oldest and most remote indigenous tribes, such as the Great Andamanese, Onge, Jarawa, Sentinelese, Nicobarese, and Shompen tribes, live on the Andaman and Nicobar Islands. For thousands of years, these groups have inhabited the islands, creating distinctive social structures, languages, and cultures that are intricately entwined with their natural environment. Hunting, gathering, fishing, and small-scale gardening are the main pillars of their traditional way of life. In addition to being survival strategies, these pursuits are ingrained in a spiritual and cultural framework that prioritizes resource sustainability and harmony with the natural world. The Andaman and Nicobar Islands are home to some of the oldest and most isolated indigenous groups in the world, including the Great Andamanese, Onge, Jarawa, Sentinelese, Nicobarese, and Shompen tribes. These communities have lived on the islands for thousands of years, developing unique social structures, languages, and cultures that are deeply interwoven with their natural surroundings. Their traditional mode of life is based mostly on hunting, gathering, fishing, and small-scale cultivation. These activities are not only survival tactics, but they are also deeply embedded in a spiritual and cultural framework that places a high value on resource sustainability and environmental harmony.

2.2 Colonial and Post-Colonial Governance Impact

For the native populations of the Andaman and Nicobar Islands, the advent of British colonial power in the late 18th and early 19th centuries was a watershed moment. In 1858, the British created a penal colony on the islands, bringing with it extensive infrastructural development, resource extraction, and population growth. The indigenous way of life was severely disrupted by these colonial efforts. The amount of land accessible for traditional use was significantly reduced when indigenous lands were taken for the plantations and prison camps. The indigenous tribes suffered catastrophic population decreases as a result of colonial policies that exposed them to new diseases like influenza and smallpox, to which they had enough antibody. Additionally, colonial authorities undermined native belief systems and social structures in an effort to "civilize" and assimilate tribes through missionary efforts. Tribal peoples were reduced to a subservient position as a result of the imposition of British legal and administrative systems, which effectively undermined indigenous governing structures. Following India's independence in 1947, the Indian government gained control over the islands, retaining many aspects of colonial rule but introducing new elements pertaining to development and national security. Due to increased military interest brought about by the islands' strategic location in the Indian Ocean, bases and other infrastructure were built, further encroaching on native territories. Integration, modernization, and economic growth were given top priority in government plans, frequently without sufficient indigenous community engagement or cooperation. Conflicts and social marginalization resulted from the increased strain on indigenous lands and resources brought on by large-scale projects, the growth of tourism, and the influx of non-indigenous settlers.

2.3 Legal Recognition of Indigenous Rights

The Indian government passed a number of laws that expressly safeguard the indigenous populations of the Andaman and Nicobar Islands in response to growing concerns about their rights and wellbeing. A landmark law known as the Andaman and Nicobar Islands (Protection of Aboriginal Tribes) Regulation, 1956, was created to protect tribal tribes by limiting access to specific tribal areas in order to stop cultural dilution and exploitation. This law creates protected areas where interaction with especially vulnerable groups, such as the Jarawa and Sentinelese, is carefully regulated or forbidden. In addition to regional legislation, Scheduled Tribes throughout India, including those in the islands, are granted specific protections and welfare benefits under Articles 244 and 275 of the Constitution. Furthermore, community rights over forest lands and resources are recognized by the Scheduled Tribes and Other Traditional Forest Dwellers (Recognition of Forest Rights) Act, 2006, often known as the Forest Rights Act. It is an important step toward the legal acknowledgment of indigenous land and resource tenure, notwithstanding its unequal and restricted application in the islands. Even with these legislative frameworks, enforcement is still difficult. Illegal land invasion, resource exploitation, and state-approved development projects continue to pose risks to many indigenous people. Tribal voices are frequently ignored as a result of jurisdictional ambiguities, overlapping authorities, and ineffective participatory governance processes. There are ongoing conflicts between upholding tribal autonomy and furthering more general developmental goals, making the legal acknowledgment of indigenous rights a work in progress.

Table 1: Historical Milestones Affecting Indigenous Rights in the Andaman and Nicobar Islands

Period	Key Events / Policies	Impact on Indigenous Communities
Pre-Colonial Era	Indigenous tribes live in isolation, practicing sustainable lifestyles	Preservation of traditional culture, social structures, and ecological balance
British Colonial Rule	Establishment of penal colony (1858), land appropriation, missionary efforts	Land dispossession, population decline from diseases, disruption of governance

Post-Independence (1947+)	Indian government control, strategic military development, infrastructure expansion	Increased intrusion, marginalization of indigenous autonomy, settler influx
Andaman & Nicobar Islands (Protection of Aboriginal Tribes) Regulation, 1956	Legal restrictions on contact, protected tribal habitats	Partial protection of tribal lands, controlled interaction, challenges in enforcement
Forest Rights Act, 2006	Recognition of forest and land rights for Scheduled Tribes (limited applicability)	Legal acknowledgment of community tenure rights, but limited implementation
Contemporary Period	Ongoing development, tourism, and conservation policies with tribal consultation challenges	Persistent land conflicts, cultural threats, efforts towards participatory governance

A complicated interaction between traditional tribal autonomy and outside governance influences is reflected in the historical trajectory of indigenous rights in the Andaman and Nicobar Islands. Under colonial and post-colonial administration, indigenous tribes have experienced significant disruptions, such as land confiscation, cultural marginalization, and demographic decrease, despite their sustainable lifestyles and unique governing structures. Despite the progressive emergence of legal frameworks to safeguard tribal rights and habitats, there are still many obstacles to overcome, including enforcement gaps and competing developmental agendas. Developing governance strategies that really respect indigenous rights while fulfilling the socioeconomic and strategic imperatives of the region requires an understanding of this historical background.

3. IMPACT OF GLOBALIZATION AND EXTERNAL INFLUENCES ON INDIGENOUS GOVERNANCE

Through the introduction of economic, cultural, demographic, and environmental changes that put traditional ways of life in jeopardy, globalization and outside influences have had a significant impact on indigenous government in the Andaman and Nicobar Islands. While global media and education expose younger generations to foreign cultures, potentially eroding indigenous identities, market integration and tourism have upended indigenous subsistence economies and resource management. Non-indigenous populations' increased migration and settlement erodes indigenous representation and control by fostering competition for resources and territory. The sustainable livelihoods that indigenous populations have historically maintained are further threatened by environmental degradation brought on by large-scale development initiatives. Despite these obstacles, globalization gives indigenous populations the chance to participate in global rights movements and use international forums to voice their concerns. In order to protect indigenous rights and promote sustainable development in the area, it is crucial to strike a balance between these outside pressures and inclusive, flexible government.

- **Influence of Economic Globalization and Market Integration**

The Andaman and Nicobar Islands' native economic activities have been drastically changed by economic globalization, which has connected them to larger domestic and worldwide markets. Indigenous populations, who have historically relied on subsistence activities like hunting, fishing, and small-scale farming, are currently under threat from the resource extraction, tourist, and commercial fishing sectors. These practices frequently result in overuse of natural resources, endangering both the ecological balance and the lives of indigenous people. Traditional social structures and communal economies may be weakened by the increased reliance on wage labor and commercial commodities that comes with

integration into cash-based economies. As external economic interests grow, disputes over land and resource rights emerge, marginalizing indigenous government and making attempts to defend ancestral territory more difficult.

- **Effects of Media, Technology, and Migration on Indigenous Communities**

Indigenous populations are exposed to global cultures, education, and communication tools through the proliferation of media and technology, which particularly affects the younger generation. This exposure puts traditional languages, rituals, and social norms at risk of cultural deterioration, even though technology gives access to knowledge and forums for activism. Additionally, migration patterns change the demographic landscape: whereas out-migration of indigenous youth might result in a loss of cultural continuity, inward movement by non-indigenous settlers raises competition for resources and land, frequently causing social tensions. These modifications put pressure on indigenous governing systems to strike a balance between cultural heritage preservation and modernization.

Table 2: Summary of Impacts of Globalization, Media, Technology, and Migration on Indigenous Communities

Aspect	Positive Impacts	Negative Impacts
Economic Globalization	Access to broader markets, income opportunities	Resource depletion, loss of traditional livelihoods, land conflicts
Market Integration	Participation in cash economies, economic diversification	Dependence on wage labor, erosion of communal economies
Media and Technology	Access to education, communication, global networks	Cultural assimilation, loss of indigenous languages and customs
Migration (Inward)	Increased workforce and infrastructure development	Land/resource competition, social tensions
Migration (Outward)	Educational and employment opportunities abroad	Cultural erosion, loss of traditional knowledge transmission

4. CONCLUSION

In the Andaman and Nicobar Islands, the intricate relationship between indigenous rights and contemporary administration exposes a landscape characterized by historical upheavals, changing legal systems, and the complicated effects of globalization. Colonial and post-colonial policies seriously weakened indigenous groups' autonomy and access to ancestral lands, despite the fact that they have maintained distinctive cultural identities and sustainable livelihoods for millennia. Despite legislative initiatives to safeguard tribal rights and habitats, enforcement issues continue to arise due to growing external influences and continuous developmental pressures. Even though they present new chances for involvement and advocacy, the economic integration, media exposure, and demographic changes brought about by globalization make indigenous governance even more difficult by endangering traditional means of subsistence and cultural continuity. As a result, striking a balance between the defense of indigenous rights, inclusive, flexible government, and sustainable development continues to be a crucial task that calls for tactful, collaborative methods that respect tribal autonomy while tackling more general socioeconomic and strategic objectives.

REFERENCES

1. Andharia, J. (2020). One size fits all? Polemics of disaster management and development from the perspective of post tsunami experiences of Nicobari Islanders. Disaster studies: Exploring intersectionalities in disaster discourse, 255-284.

2. Asif, M. (2022). Blue Economy and Power Politics in the Indian Ocean: Challenges and Opportunities. Journal of Nautical Eye and Strategic Studies, 2(2), 2-37.

3. Indwar, T., & Muthukumar, P. K. (2023). Role of ecotourism in sustainable development: An overview. Journal of Pharmaceutical Negative Results, 14(2).

4. Kathuria, S. J., & Sharma, S. (2024). ECOLOGICAL KNOWLEDGE OF INDIGENOUS PEOPLE: A GUIDE TO MEET SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOALS (2030). GYANODAYA: The Journal of Progressive Education, 17(2).

5. Khan, L., & Ali, S. E. (2024). Balancing the Seas: India's Response to Geopolitical Shifts in the Indian Ocean Region. Pakistan Research Journal of Social Sciences, 3(3).

6. Malhotra, N. (2024). Introduction to Tribes in India: Factors Affecting the Inclusion of Tribes. In Sustainable Pathways (pp. 95-105). Emerald Publishing Limited.

7. Malhotra, N. (2024). Introduction to Tribes in India: Factors Affecting the Inclusion of Tribes. In Sustainable Pathways (pp. 95-105). Emerald Publishing Limited.

8. Monteiro, J. P. (2020). From 'Natives' to 'Aborigines': Late colonialism, Indigenous rights and the global politics of sovereignty (1953–1962). The Journal of Imperial and Commonwealth History, 48(5), 934-957.

9. Paul, S., Justin, A., & Chatterjee, S. (2024). Sentinelese contacts: anthropologically revisiting the most reclusive masters of the terra incognita North Sentinel Island. Humanities and Social Sciences Communications, 11(1), 1-10.

10. Rabani, G., & Mishra, B. (2023). Andaman Island and the Historicity of Colonial Violence: An Ecocritical Study of Uzma Aslam Khan's The Miraculous True History of Nomi Ali. 3L, Language, Linguistics, Literature, 29(2), 57-69.

11. Reddy, G. P. (2020). Hunter-gatherers and the politics of environment and development in India. In Key issues in hunter-gatherer research (pp. 357-375). Routledge.

12. Saha, D., Kumar, D. S., Krishnan, P., Mukherjee, R., Vidhyavathi, A., Maheswari, M., & Vijayabhama, M. (2024). Balancing Nets and Lives: A Socio-Ecological Analysis of Sustainable Fisheries on the Indian Coast of the Gulf of Mannar. Sustainability, 16(20), 8738.

13. Sahay, V. S., & Gupta, U. (2023). Tribal Administration in India: Issues and Challenges. Administration in India, 15-29.

14. Saxena, K. B., Sahay, V. S., Roy, S., & Pandit, T. N. (2020). Comments on 'Draft of the Policies for Great Andamanese and Sentinelese'Published in journal of Anthropological Survey of India, 69 (1), 165–176. Journal of the Anthropological Survey of India, 69(2), 290-306.

15. Zehmisch, P. (2021, November). The Conservation of Anarchy: Ethnographic Reflections on Forest Policies and Resource Use. In Energies Beyond the State (pp. 143-160). Rowman & Littlefield Publishers.