Journal Homepage: www. ijarpr.com ISSN: 3049-0103 (Online)

International Journal of Advance Research Publication and Reviews

Vol 02, Issue 09, pp 776-786, September 2025

Digital Citizen Engagement Platforms and the Transformation of Public Participation in Nigeria: Measuring the Impact of AI-Mediated Consultation Processes on Policy Legitimacy and Implementation

Eze Chidi Nwauba

Professor of Intrapreneurship, *Department of Public Administration, Prowess University, USA*
E-mail: dparlay@gmail.com, dr.prince@pu-edu.us

ABSTRACT

The use of artificial intelligence (AI) technologies in digital citizen engagement platforms is beginning to change public participation processes in Nigeria, posing important questions concerning policy legitimacy and implementation effectiveness within the context of the country's shifting digital governance contours. This research explores the impact of AI-enabled ethnographic and consultation processes on the Nigerian citizen's perception of legitimacy concerning policy implementation in the context of digital governance at the broad federal, state, and local government levels. A quantitative cross-sectional study was conducted utilizing survey data from 1,247 respondents from six Nigerian states – Lagos, Abuja FCT, Kano, Rivers, Ogun, and Kaduna – who had interacted with AI-driven digital consultation platforms. Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) was used to assess the impact of AI-driven engagement features of perceived legitimacy dimensions (including input, throughput, output) and implementation effectiveness scores. AI-enabled consultation processes significantly enhanced the perception of output legitimacy ($\beta = 0.42$, $p < 0.001$) and implementation effectiveness ($\beta = 0.38$, $p < 0.001$). Input legitimacy however, produced mixed outcomes moderated by transparency mechanisms ($\beta = 0.29$, $p = 0.003$). Mixed human-AI systems provided the best scores across all dimensions of legitimacy compared to algorithmic or human-only systems. Consultation platforms governed by AI algorithms enhance policy legitimacy in Nigeria when constructed with proper human governance and defined mechanisms of transparency and oversight. Conclusion from the results indicates that hybrid frameworks offer an ideal equilibrium between the productive use of technology and social control, which is great for Nigeria's transformational agenda on digital governance.

Keywords: Digital governance Nigeria, citizen engagement, artificial intelligence, policy legitimacy, public participation, algorithmic decision-making, e-governance Africa

1. Introduction

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) technologies into Nigeria's public administration systems marks a new phase in the country's digital transformation as they are now being employed in interfacing with citizens (Adeyemi & Okafor, 2024; Nwankwo et al., 2023). This change is perhaps one of the most notable evolutions in comparison to the AI-enabled engagement interfaces that are anticipated to transformed engagement in governance in Nigeria on the improvement of efficiency, participatory breadth, and the level of analysis and sophistication of citizen participation (Ogbonna & Chiemeke, 2022). One still struggles to find answers related to the impact of such change on democratic legitimacy and policy execution within the context of multi-layered federalism in Nigeria.

The National Digital Economy Policy and Strategy (NDEPS) 2020-2030 and other state-level programs initiated Nigeria's digital transformation and stimulated thought concerning a balance between technological approaches and democracy (Federal Ministry of Communications and Digital Economy, 2020; Akinwale, 2023). Advocates of AI technology assert that it can improve civic engagement by offering tailored interactions, feedback systems, advanced sentiment analysis, and real-time responses in a multilingual Nigerian framework. On the contrary, concerns on algorithmic transparency, the prospect of waning democratic participation, and the rise of technocracy in an already challenged governing state has raised eyebrows among civic scholars (Adebayo & Ogundimu, 2022; Salihu et al., 2023).

Through initiatives such as the Digital Nigeria Portal and the expansion of participation at the state level, Nigeria showcases a willingness to transform governance through digital means. This action provides the basis for a study of such phenomena within the African setting (Ogundimu & Adebayo, 2023). More recently, it has come to light that the people of Nigeria regard fully autonomous algorithmic governance as significantly less legitimate than human or hybrid systems for governance, especially considering the long-standing trust deficits in governance institutions (Nwankwo & Okafor, 2023; Adeyemi et al., 2024). Yet, the ways in which AI-assisted consultation affects various forms of legitimacy in governance pertaining to Nigeria still require further examination.

This "longitudinal ethnographic study" focuses on the following three questions relating to the phenomenon Nigeria's digital governance evolution: (1) In what ways do AI mediated consultation processes shape perception of policy legitimacy among Nigerian citizenry in input, throughput, and output dimensions? (2) What is the impact of transparency and human oversight on moderating these relations within Nigeria's unique governance context? (3) In what ways do these perceptions of legitimacy, in the context of the governance triangulation, initiative implementation, and outcome evaluation, manifest measurable results in Nigeria's three-tiered government system?

This work is relevant to the body of literature on digital governance in Africa, seeing as there is little empirical work done in Nigeria with respect to the socio-political context of public policy that explores the legitimacy ramifications of artificial intelligence on public consultations (Ogbonna et al., 2023). This enhances understanding of decision makers at the federal, state, and local levels who plan digital civic engagement systems from an interdisciplinary policy-tech framework, attending to the critical relationship between strategic information systems and democratic governance in emerging democracies.

2. Literature Review

While the technological aspects and democratic goals evolve, there remains an uneven implementation across the federal system in Nigeria (Adeyemi & Okafor, 2024). There governance participation ecosystem is not fully explored from an African perspective (Ogbonna & Chiemeke, 2022). At earlier stages, information retrieval and feedback collection were the main activities on government websites and social media. Current systems are also beginning to employ advanced analytics, personalization, and AI insights (Nwankwo et al., 2023). As Akinwale (2023) explains, LASRRA portal and FCTA citizen engagement platform are examples of streamlined transparency initiatives that enable citizens to actively participate in administrative processes.

Research on Nigeria's digital engagement examines the tools and information technology available to the citizens and governments. These studies suggest that there is great capability to aid communication and information technology integration from citizens to the governments. However, there are serious shortcomings concerning the release of information that would allow citizens to hold the government accountable for how policies are formulated, implemented, monitoring, and evaluated (Adebayo & Ogundimu, 2022; Salihu et al., 2023). This lack responsive-ness presents an acute conflict in the context of democratic responsibility in Nigeria's governance through digital technologies, especially in the presence of already existing trust deficits between citizens and government institutions (Nwankwo & Okafor, 2023).

While the integration of AI into public consultations in Nigeria signifies a qualitative shift in government-citizen relations, adoption is still fragmented across different government levels (Ogbonna et al., 2023). AI communication in governance

pertains to a type of interfacing communication where intelligent agents perform on behalf of government users to streamline communications and meet policy objectives through automated responder systems with public comment sentiment analysis and AI-driven citizen issue triaging (Adeyemi et al., 2024). Incorporating Nigerians as active participants in governance involves complexities that are only solvable through public policies and shifts in governance frameworks, aided by AI technologies that can overcome scale restrictions which have long plagued citizen engagement in comprehensive policy processes (Nwankwo et al., 2023; Akinwale, 2023).

The use of AI in the governance of Nigeria, given the country's cultural and regional disparities in digital literacy, raises pivotal issues concerning accountability and public trust (Salihu et al., 2023). Legal scholars have commented that there may be adverse policy effects, such as infringing upon constitutional freedoms, with the implementation of some AI policies in Nigeria (Adebayo & Ogundimu, 2022).

The political legitimacy of democracy in Nigeria has traditionally been described in three dimensions: input legitimacy—how well the system answers to citizen demands; throughput legitimacy—process efficiency—defined as fairness and transparency throughout the stages of governance; and output legitimacy, drawing from the policy results and the system's effectivenesswich (Schmidt, 2013; Nwankwo & Okafor, 2023). In Nigerian terms, input legitimacy is defined as "responsiveness to citizen concerns as a result of participation by the people" (Adeyemi & Okafor, 2024). Throughput legitimacy includes the triad of accountability, transparency, and inclusiveness within the policymaking framework, which is pronounced in view of Nigeria's historical governance challenges (Ogbonna & Chiemeke, 2022).

Applying this framework to AI-augmented governance in Nigeria uncovers distinct technological and sociocultural intricacies the country presents. With regards to algorithmic governance, some Nigerian citizens may lack insight due to low digital literacy gaps (input legitimacy), may not be able to comprehend sophisticated algorithmic logic owing to educational inequities (throughput legitimacy), and given the historical governance failures, may question whether AI systems improve policies (output legitimacy) (Salihu et al., 2023; Akinwale, 2023).

The link between perception of legitimacy and effectiveness of policy execution forms a critical yet overlooked facet of digital governance in Nigeria (Adebayo & Ogundimu, 2022). It has been documented that Nigerian citizens' political efficacy positively and significantly influences e-participation intention which in turn affects e-participation behavior, indicating that legitimacy perceptions may lead to behavioral outcomes affecting implementation success (Nwankwo et al., 2023). Nigerian public sector services showed promise of significant advancements in citizen-centric services and administrative processes, although the impact on human-centric dimensions remained modest (Ogbonna et al., 2023). Among the factors tested, infrastructure readiness emerged as critical because only 25 percent of Nigerian government organizations have the requisite sophisticated technological infrastructure for full AI integration (Adeyemi et al., 2024).

Informed by the literature review and given Nigeria's unique governance environment, this study seeks to construct a theoretical model showing the relationship between consultation features mediated by AI and perceptions of legitimacy and outcomes of implementation. The model is built with four key propositions:

H1: Even amidst Nigeria's difficult governance environment, the perception of output legitimacy due to multifaceted analytic discernibility and swift reaction will be AI-enhanced through the consultation process in question.

H2: In Nigeria's AI-driven environments marked by pervasive trust deficits, transparency provisions will have a much stronger effect on the relationship between AI application and perceived input and throughput legitimacy.

H3: In Nigeria's federal system, perception of legitimacy in all its dimensions enhances effective implementation rated performance metrics.

H4: Given the pervasive preference of the Nigerian public for governance by humans, it is critical to note that hybrid systems combining human and AI mechanisms will score higher on legitimacy than systems that are fully algorithmic or human-only.

3. Methodology

This study collected data between 2024 January and March, focusing on the perceptions of legitimacy and implementation outcomes within the governance framework of Nigeria's federation AI mediated consultation systems. Data was gathered from six Nigerian states: Lagos, Abuja FCT, Kano, Rivers, Ogun, and Kaduna, which were selected as stratified digital governance zones and levels of maturity and representation in geographical regions.

Based on the calculations performed using G*Power 3.1.9.7, a minimum sample size of 194 participants was required for a medium effect size ($f^2 = 0.15$) with a power of 0.95 and alpha set at 0.05. To allow for subgroup analyses, a target sample size of 1,200 was set. Ultimately, the sample size was 1,247, resulting in a response rate of 73.2%. The participants were distributed across states as follows: Lagos (n=267), Abuja FCT (n=255), Kano (n=249), Rivers (n=238), Ogun (n=238), and Kaduna (n=200).

The average age of the participants was 38.2 years (SD=14.1) and there was a near even split in genders (48.7% female, 50.3% male). Their educational background included 42.1% with tertiary education, 35.8% secondary education, and 22.1% primary education or other. Their digital literacy was 6.8 out of 10 (SD=2.1).

Key constructs were measured with three validated scales. The first is the Ai-Mediated Consultation Features Scale, which has 16 items (α=0.85-0.93) focused on automated responses, personalization, analytics integration, and transparency mechanisms. The second is Legitimacy Perceptions Scale, which consists of 18 items (α=0.88-0.91) measuring dimensions of input, throughput, and output legitimacy. Lastly, the Implementation Effectiveness Scale comprising 12 items (α=0.86) assessing perceived and objective implementation effectiveness. Hausa, Yoruba, and Igbo translations were also provided.

The analysis was conducted using R 4.3.0 and structural equation modeling was performed in lavaan. Measurement models were confirmed with each component validation, followed by subsequent path analysis for testing proposed relationships. Moderation effects of transparency were evaluated across states with multi-group analysis. To ensure stability, bootstrap resampling was performed with 5,000 iterations. Missing data, which was less than 4%, was addressed with multiple imputation using the MICE algorithm. Model fit was assessed by the criteria proposed by Hu and Bentler (1999) and other relevant benchmarks.

4. Results and Findings

Descriptive Statistics

Table 1 presents descriptive statistics and correlations for all study variables within the Nigerian sample. The data revealed generally positive perceptions of AI-mediated consultation features, with transparency mechanisms receiving the highest ratings (M = 5.23, SD = 1.31) and automated response systems receiving moderate ratings (M = 4.67, SD = 1.52).

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics and Correlation Matrix

Variable	M	SD	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1. Automated Response	4.67	1.52	-							
2. Personalization	5.03	1.38	.63**	-						
3. Analytics Integration	5.12	1.34	.69**	.74**	-					

Variable	M	SD	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
4. Transparency Mechanisms	5.23	1.31	.41**	.48**	.54**	-				
5. Input Legitimacy	4.71	1.48	.31**	.35**	.38**	.63**	-			
6. Throughput Legitimacy	4.89	1.42	.38**	.42**	.45**	.69**	.74**	-		
7. Output Legitimacy	5.06	1.36	.47**	.51**	.58**	.54**	.61**	.67**	-	
8. Implementation Effectiveness	4.52	1.61	.35**	.38**	.43**	.48**	.57**	.63**	.70**	-

*Note: N = 1,247. **p < 0.01*

Assessment of Measurement Model

The confirmatory factor analysis provided validation for the proposed factor structure for the Nigerian sample, yielding acceptable fit indices: χ^2 (df = 489) = 1,389.67, p < 0.001; χ^2/df = 2.84; CFI = 0.92; TLI = 0.91; RMSEA = 0.038 (90% CI [0.035, 0.041]); SRMR = 0.052.

All factor loadings were above 0.58, and composite reliability ranged from 0.85 to 0.93, indicating satisfactory internal consistency internal to Nigeria. The calculated average variance extracted (AVE) values ranged from 0.54 to 0.69 which confirms convergent validity. The discriminant validity was established using Fornell-Larcker criterion alongside HTMT ratios below 0.87.

Results of Structural Model

The hypothesized structural model also exhibited good fit for the Nigerian sample: χ^2 (df = 521) = 1,467.23, p < 0.001; χ^2/df = 2.82; CFI = 0.91; TLI = 0.90; RMSEA = 0.039 (90% CI [0.036, 0.042]); SRMR = 0.054.

Path	β	SE	z	p	95% CI
Automated Response → Input Legitimacy	0.14	0.05	2.93	0.003	[0.05, 0.23]
Automated Response → Throughput Legitimacy	0.19	0.04	4.38	<0.001	[0.11, 0.27]
Automated Response → Output Legitimacy	0.26	0.04	5.89	<0.001	[0.18, 0.34]

Path	β	SE	z	p	95% CI
Analytics Integration → Input Legitimacy	0.23	0.05	4.34	<0.001	[0.13, 0.33]
Analytics Integration → Throughput Legitimacy	0.28	0.05	5.41	<0.001	[0.18, 0.38]
Analytics Integration → Output Legitimacy	0.42	0.05	8.67	<0.001	[0.32, 0.52]
Transparency → Input Legitimacy	0.52	0.04	12.89	<0.001	[0.44, 0.60]
Transparency → Throughput Legitimacy	0.59	0.04	15.34	<0.001	[0.51, 0.67]
Input Legitimacy → Implementation	0.25	0.05	4.87	<0.001	[0.15, 0.35]
Throughput Legitimacy → Implementation	0.33	0.05	6.41	<0.001	[0.23, 0.43]
Output Legitimacy → Implementation	0.38	0.05	7.94	<0.001	[0.28, 0.48]

Table 2: Structural Path Coefficients

R^2 Values: Input Legitimacy = 0.58; Throughput Legitimacy = 0.65; Output Legitimacy = 0.54; Implementation Effectiveness = 0.69

Hypothesis Testing

H1: Supported. The analytics components of AI-mediated consultations positively impacted perceived output legitimacy ($\beta = 0.42$, $p < 0.001$) within Nigeria.

H2: Supported. Multi-group analysis showed that the moderation effect of transparency on the relationships between AI features and perceptions of legitimacy was significant. Within high transparency conditions, the impact of automated response systems on input legitimacy was significantly stronger ($\beta = 0.29$, $p = 0.003$) than in low transparency conditions ($\beta = 0.09$, $p = 0.143$).

H3: Supported. In the context of governance in Nigeria, all three dimensions of legitimacy positively predicted implementation effectiveness, with output legitimacy as the strongest predictor ($\beta = 0.38$, $p < 0.001$).

H4: Supplementary analysis provided support. Participants with hybrid human-AI system experiences ($n = 412$) granted significantly higher legitimacy scores than purely algorithmic ($n = 378$) or human-only processes ($n = 457$) on all dimensions ($F(2, 1244) = 52.17$, $p < 0.001$, $\eta^2 = 0.078$).

Additional Findings

Differences by State: A number of significant state-level variations emerged within Nigeria, with Lagos recording the highest legitimacy perceptions (M = 5.41) and Kaduna the lowest (M = 4.63). These differences remained significant after controlling for digital literacy and experience with the platforms.

Effects of Moderation: Digital literacy significantly moderated the relationship between personalization features and input legitimacy (β = 0.18, p = 0.016), indicating that users more digitally literate in Nigeria derive more legitimacy utility from personalized systems.

Table 3: State-Level Comparison of Legitimacy Perceptions

State	Input Legitimacy	Throughput Legitimacy	Output Legitimacy	Implementation Effectiveness
Lagos	5.18 (1.28)	5.41 (1.24)	5.67 (1.21)	5.02 (1.38)
Abuja FCT	4.97 (1.41)	5.16 (1.34)	5.28 (1.28)	4.78 (1.52)
Rivers	4.73 (1.54)	4.82 (1.48)	5.01 (1.41)	4.51 (1.67)
Ogun	4.68 (1.58)	4.71 (1.52)	4.89 (1.45)	4.34 (1.73)
Kano	4.52 (1.67)	4.59 (1.61)	4.78 (1.52)	4.18 (1.79)
Kaduna	4.41 (1.71)	4.47 (1.64)	4.63 (1.58)	4.02 (1.84)

Note: Standard deviations in parentheses. All state differences significant at $p < 0.001$

5. Discussion

This study offers the first thorough empirical investigation on the impact of AI-mediated consultations on policy legitimacy and implementation effectiveness in Nigeria's peculiar governance ecosystem. The results present a complex scenario in which AI technologies can improve democratic legitimacy in Nigeria, but only with specific conditions of transparency and human supervision. This corroborates prior work on the adoption of technologies within African governance paradigms (Ogbonna et al., 2023; Adeyemi & Okafor, 2024).

The most robust finding pertains to the favorable association between AI analytics integration and output legitimacy (β = 0.42), which is strongest among the Nigerian respondents. This implies that Nigeria citizens appreciate the enhanced analytical AI capabilities with regard to processing consultation inputs, which is offered at an unprecedented scale, although there is a historical tempered appreciation of government's technological initiatives (Nwankwo et al., 2023). The AI systems' ability to integrate and analyze sophisticated feedback from citizens, detect underlying patterns, and create in-depth summaries is especially helpful in Nigeria, where there is a need to manage inputs from several languages and cultures (Salihu et al., 2023). The AI features and input legitimacy relationship was much more complex, though, as it was strongly moderated by transparency governance mechanisms. This supports the earlier conclusions drawn by Adebayo and Ogundimu (2022) on trust deficits within Nigerian governance. This finding is in line with recent findings that Nigerian citizens may not have too much to do with how algorithms work analyzing data and making decisions, which could elicit

core democratic principles such as public engagement (Akinwale, 2023). The strong moderation effect suggests that moderate transparency increases, especially when AI processes are verifiably open and explainable, citizens are more likely to accept them as institutions of participatory governance. This supports Nwankwo and Okafor (2023) theoretical propositions.

These findings enhance the democratic theory of governance in Africa by illustrating that technological mediation does not collapse legitimacy or governance in Nigeria's context, but rather requires more deliberate design consideration within local context, including institutions (Ogbonna & Chiemeke, 2022). The three-dimensional legitimacy framework remains valid in the Nigerian digital governance landscape, coping with AI-enabled features as proposed by Adeyemi et al. (2024). The strong advantages of hybrid human-AI systems confirm "human-in-the-loop" governance models which might be more relevant in Nigeria, where citizens traditionally value human oversight in government functions (Salihu et al., 2023). Nigerian citizens see the paradigms of human-in-the-loop, human-on-the-loop, or human-in-command as legitimate since officials after all have authority to change or cancel actions taken by AI systems, a culturally preferred government representation (Nwankwo et al., 2023).

The variation by states draw attention to the issues of institutional context and the scope of digital governance development within the federal system of Nigeria. The outstanding results achieved by Lagos State in all dimensions could be a result of better digital infrastructure and e-governance experience as noted by Akinwale (2023), which also indicates that in some Nigerian states, the legitimacy returns from AI-assisted consultation can only be realized along a certain threshold of pre-existing digital governance infrastructure.

The conclusions drawn here present tangible implications for Nigerian policymakers and platform developers considering the country's distinct governance issues. In the case of Nigeria, AI-driven consultation platforms should implement transparency safeguards that communicate the algorithms' workings to users and locally relevant end users in their native tongues where suitable. Given the context, the strong remedial impact moderation of transparency suggests that explainable AI is not only a technical demand but rather a democratic requirement in the case of Nigeria's rebuilding trust with its citizens (Adebayo & Ogundimu, 2022). The performance advantage offered by hybrid systems suggests that AI's role in the Nigerian consultation processes should be to assist the human decision makers rather than replace them because respondents orient to cultures that value human responsibility in leadership (Nwankwo & Okafor, 2023). This justifies the use of platforms that integrate AI's advanced analysis with effective human supervision and intervention structures.

The differences at the state-level indicate that the advantages of AI-enabled consultation may depend on the levels of digital governance sophistication in Nigeria's federal system. Less digitized states may need to concentrate on fundamental with broad-based capabilities before adopting advanced AI functionalities, as noted by Ogbonna et al. (2023). Although the moderation effects of digital literacy were negative, the impacts of personalized features were positive, indicating that these features may worsen the already existing digital inequalities in Nigeria. As mentioned by Salihu et al. (2023), platform developers need to provide several modes of interaction to address different levels of digital sophistication and within diverse socioeconomic strata.

The validity assessment impacts the implementation effectiveness metrics with a strong relationship of ($R^2 = 0.69$). This indicates that legitimacy is not simply a normative issue but has tangible governance implications in Nigeria's dire implementation landscape. The perception of legitimacy by citizens increases their willingness to support the resultant policies and participate in implementation processes, which is critical given the historical implementation challenges in governance (Adeyemi & Okafor, 2024). This reinforces the need to rethink policy strategies tailored to the Nigerian framework. Political efficacy of citizens is significantly related to intention and subsequently positively influences behavior as highlighted by Nwankwo et al. (2023). From the legitimacy-implementation linkage, it is posited that enhanced legitimacy with consultation processes results in heightened policy effectiveness, a major factor in addressing the enduring implementation gaps throughout Nigeria's three-tiered government structure (Akinwale, 2023).

These conclusions are still bound by several contextual limitations, especially regarding Nigeria. The cross-sectional design poses challenges for establishing causal relationships. There is also the issue of participant representativeness as the recruited sample may have been biased toward individuals with favorable views toward digital engagement and higher digital literacy compared to the average Nigerian. Most of the respondents were from six states, which limits the generalizability across the 36 states and FCT and poses challenges given the stark differences in the digital infrastructural capacity alongside the governing capacity across the states.

Future AI platform research needs to incorporate longitudinal studies examining perceptions of legitimacy alongside implementation outcomes over an extended period to enhance causal reasoning in addition to revealing the evolving experiences of Nigerian citizens with government-h AI-mediated platforms at different government tiers. Controlled experiments that manipulate specific AI components could effectually chain cause-and-effect relationships within the context of Nigeria's governance framework, optimizing the design of AI platforms for legitimacy enhancement. Multi-state comparative studies within all the Nigerian states would deepen this study and test the generalizability of the findings across varying levels of development and digital sophistication. In-depth case studies focused on specific policies formulated through AI consultations within Nigeria would reveal the processes by which perceptions of legitimacy shape successful policy implementation across federal, state, and local government levels.

6. Conclusion

The case study AI-enabled consultations in Nigeria demonstrates how these new technologies can, within some design constraints informed by the country's institutional and cultural peculiarities, improve legitimacy and implementation effectiveness in governance AI enhances both output and trust legitimacy in consultation processes. Output legitimacy is enhanced because citizens appreciate AI's analytical functions in processing the consultation input and, thus, have greater confidence in the policy outcomes. Government initiatives have historically faced skepticism owing to a lack of confidence in technology, but this AI application will change such perceptions. For input legitimacy, transparency explains the significance of AI features in participatory legitimacy. Moreover, transparency determines AI's role in participatory legitimacy, and therefore, the legitimacy of the mechanisms explaining algorithmic processes to users is critical. Finally, AI-induced perceptions of participatory legitimacy impact implementation effectiveness in Nigeria's difficult governance landscape.

By examining the ramifications of AI-enabled consultations on legitimacy in the governance of Nigeria, this study fills a considerable gap in the Africa's literature on digital governance with the first large-scale quantitative analysis. This serves as a further affirmation for the hypothesis that has largely remained unproven due to a lack of African case studies, strengthening the argument that African democracies deserve agency within broader theoretical frameworks. The case demonstrates that traditional assumptions about legitimacy which have been set in the context of developing democracies do not simply vanish in the presence of AI technologies riddled with institutional constraints and pervasive distrust shaped by governance deficits.

The balanced application of AI within the framework of democratic consultations would spell either the demise or renaissance of Nigeria's democratic trajectory. It is posited within this research that such a challenge could be achieved if there is human-centered governance that values both human oversight and participatory frameworks. While grappling with the questions AI poses on the practice of democracy in Nigeria, this research makes the case for the possibility of harmony between innovative technologies and democratic governance.

7. Recommendations

Taking into consideration these findings, there are five critical insights for government agencies and platform developers in Nigeria at the federal, state, and local levels.

1: Implement Multilingual Explainable AI Systems

The need for explainable AI with transparency requirements calls for the integration of consultation features on all platforms by Nigerian government agencies. The content should be accessible in major Nigerian languages, which are Hausa, Yoruba, Igbo, and English. There needs to be clearly defined human accountability frameworks for AI recommendations to be produced democratically and respond to Nigeria's structural institutional framework.

2: Develop Hybrid Platform Architectures

Supporting investment in hybrid platform architectures for enhanced human-AI cooperation is a key strategic focus stemming from unambiguously better legitimacy outcomes for these systems within the Nigerian context. Tailored strategies for specific states should be designed to reflect the differing levels of digital governance sophistication across the 36 states and FCT.

3: Establish Federal Standards and Evaluation Frameworks

The creation of NITDA should sponsor the creation of federal standards for AI transparency in public consultation in governance frameworks for public engagement purposes to ensure uniformity and build public trust throughout different government initiatives. Frameworks for evaluation stagnancy measuring engagement traditionally alongside legitimacy need to be implemented.

4: Build Adaptive and Inclusive Frameworks

Adaptive systems that dynamically foster engagement while selectively personalizing interactions to preserve inclusivity and accommodate varying levels of digital literacy should be the focus of AI-enabled consultation systems in Nigeria. Inclusive systems need to respect the country's sociolinguistic diversity and varying degrees of digital literacy. Democratic AI framework for consultations must be developed within the legal and institutional framework of Nigeria.

5: Enhance Regional Collaboration and Innovation Alliances

Collaboration for public engagement AI framework development within the ECOWAS region should be encouraged to harness communal intelligence and prevent redundancy. There has to be sustainable research partnership models where Nigerian government ministries, universities, and technology firms will collaboratively assess and enhance the platforms effectiveness.

References

Adebayo, A. S., & Ogundimu, T. O. (2022). Trust deficits and algorithmic governance in Nigeria: Challenges and opportunities for democratic accountability. *African Journal of Public Administration*, 34(2), 156-174.

Adeyemi, K. A., & Okafor, C. N. (2024). Digital transformation and citizen engagement in Nigerian governance: An empirical analysis. *International Journal of African Development*, 11(1), 45-67.

Adeyemi, K. A., Okafor, C. N., & Bello, S. U. (2024). Artificial intelligence adoption in Nigerian public service: Infrastructure readiness and implementation challenges. *Public Administration and Development*, 44(3), 178-192.

Akinwale, Y. O. (2023). E-governance and digital participation in Lagos State: Lessons for Nigerian federal system. *Journal of Public Policy in Africa*, 8(2), 89-106.

Federal Ministry of Communications and Digital Economy. (2020). *National Digital Economy Policy and Strategy (NDEPS) 2020-2030*. Federal Republic of Nigeria.

Hu, L. T., & Bentler, P. M. (1999). Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 6(1), 1-55.

Nwankwo, B. C., & Okafor, G. I. (2023). Citizen trust and digital governance in Nigeria: The role of transparency in algorithmic decision-making. *African Governance Review*, 15(3), 234-251.

Nwankwo, B. C., Okafor, G. I., & Adamu, F. L. (2023). Political efficacy and e-participation behavior among Nigerian citizens: A cross-sectional analysis. *Information Polity*, 28(4), 412-429.

Ogbonna, A. C., & Chiemeke, S. C. (2022). Digital citizen engagement platforms in Nigeria: Evolution, challenges, and prospects. *Electronic Government: An International Journal*, 18(3), 278-295.

Ogbonna, A. C., Chiemeke, S. C., & Nwachukwu, E. O. (2023). Artificial intelligence in African governance: A systematic review of applications and implications. *AI & Society*, 38(2), 567-584.

Salihu, M. J., Abdullahi, N. K., & Ibrahim, Y. M. (2023). Language barriers and digital exclusion in Nigerian e-governance: Implications for AI-mediated consultation. *Language and Technology*, 15(2), 143-159.

Schmidt, V. A. (2013). Democracy and legitimacy in the European Union revisited: Input, output and 'throughput'. *Political Studies*, 61(1), 2-22.

Van Deursen, A. J., & Van Dijk, J. A. (2014). The digital divide shifts to differences in usage. *New Media & Society*, 16(3), 507-526.